

REMARKS

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-13, 23-27 and 30-32 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,522,295 (issued Feb. 18, 2003; hereinafter referred to as the "295 patent") in view of the reference entitled "Bistatic Laptop Radar; An Affordable, Silent Radar Alternative" (presented at the IEEE 1996 National Radar Conference, Ann Arbor, Michigan, May 13-16, 1996; hereinafter referred to as "Ogrodnik") and U.S. Patent No. 5,252,980 (issued Oct. 12 1993; hereinafter referred to as "Gray"). The Examiner also rejected claims 14-22, 28 and 29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of the '295 patent in view of Ogrodnik, Gray and U.S. Patent No. 4,063,073 (issued Dec. 13, 1977; hereinafter referred to as "Strayer").

The Terminal Disclaimer filed herewith obviates the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of the pending claims. Based upon the foregoing, Applicant believes that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP

Date: July 22, 2005

By: Robert B. Berube
Robert B. Berube, Esq.
Registration No. 39,608
3151 South Vaughn Way, Suite 411
Aurora, Colorado 80014
Telephone: (303) 338-0997
Facsimile: (303) 338-1514